

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/830,357 | 07/17/2001 | Daniel Yam | YAM 1 | 1056 | |
| 1444 | 7590 05/23/2003 | | | | |
| BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 300 | STREET, NW | | WANG, SH | WANG, SHENGJUN | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1617 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/830,357 | YAM, DANIEL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Shengjun Wang | 1617 | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

| Examin | ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| b) 🗌 | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| fee have fee undei (2) as sei | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if any reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1. | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in \ 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2.⊠ T | he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) | ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| _ | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. |
| 4.∐ N | lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 5.⊠ T | The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the prior office action. |
| | he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly aised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 7.⊠ F | for purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| Т | he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| (| Claim(s) allowed: |
| (| Claim(s) objected to: |
| (| Claim(s) rejected: <u>60-65,68,70-73,77-81,85-92,97-100 and 102</u> . |
| (| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>66,67,69,74-76,82,83</u> . |
| 8. 🔲 T | he proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9.□ N | ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| | Other: |
| | same de la companya della compa |
| | The Original Variation of the Late of the Control o |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Application No. 009/830,357

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments changing "comprising" to "consisting essentially of" in the claims would substantially change the scope of the claimed invention and would require new consideration and new search..

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejections of claims 73 and 86 under 35 U.S.C 112 first paragraph.Particularly, applicants provide evidence showing that making high concentrate omega-3 PUFA is well-known in the art.